

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/826,559	04/04/2001	Bryan Hiromoto	43747	5308
25225 75	90 06/19/2002	*		
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP		- 1-9 and 1-2	EXAMINER '	
3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE SUITE 500	MELLER, MICHAEL V			
SAN DIEGO, C	CA 92130-2332	7	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	1
		DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. '	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/826,559	HIROMOTO, BRYAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael V. Meller	1651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	corresponaence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute and prepared by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·		
,—	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-65 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).	
a) The translation of the foreign language pr 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	rry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		-	

Part of Paper No. 8

Application/Control Number:			Page 2
09/826,559		•	
Art Unit: 1651			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18, 30, 32, 49-51, drawn to composition I, classified in class 435, subclass 183+.
 - II. Claims 19-29, 31 and 33, drawn to composition II, classified in class 435, subclass various.
 - III. Claim 34, drawn to a method of using composition I, classified in class424, subclass various.
 - IV. Claim 35, drawn to a method of using composition II, classified in class514, subclass various.
 - V. Claims 36-44, drawn to a method of making comp. I, classified in class436, subclass various.
 - VI. Claims 45-47, drawn to a method of making comp. II, classified in class 514, subclass various.
 - VII. Claim 48 and 52-55, drawn to comp. III, classified in class 530, subclass various.
 - VIII. Claim 56, drawn to comp. IV, classified in class 426, subclass various.

Application/Control Number:	Page 3
09/826,559	
Art Unit: 1651	

- IX. Claim 57, drawn to a method of using comp. IV, classified in class 435, subclass various.
- X. Claims 58-60, 62-64, drawn to comp. V, classified in class 424, subclass various.
- XI. Claim 61, drawn to a first method of using comp. V, classified in class 514, subclass various.
- XII. Claim 65, drawn to a second method of using comp. V, classified in class 530, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The compositions are materially distinct from one another since they involve completely different ingredients (components) from one another. These react differently from one another, i.e. an enzyme versus a saccharide.

The methods of using these different compositions are also materially distinct from one another. As is evidenced by the different methods themselves, these compositions can be used in many materially distinct processes of use.

The methods of making the different compositions are also materially distinct from one another. As is evidenced by the different methods themselves, these compositions can be made by many materially distinct processes.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number:	Page 4
09/826,559	
Art Unit: 1651	

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the many different species of components in the compositions.

Applicant is required to enumerate the specific components in the compositions to be used or made. For example, list the type of specific ester to be used, the specific source of the lipase, etc.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 19, 36, 45, 48 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number:	Page 5
09/826,559	
Art Unit: 1651	

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 703-308-4230. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0294 for regular communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Michael V. Meller Examiner

Art Unit 1651

MVM June 18, 2002